

How Michigan Legislators Voted on Taxpayer-Funded Business Subsidies

By James Hohman, Jack McHugh and Stephen J. Frick

Introduction

This analysis attempts to quantify the dollar value of business subsidies authorized by each Michigan legislator since 2001.

For the purpose of this analysis, the term “business subsidy” means more than just a cash grant from government to a particular business. It also includes refundable tax credits for both income taxes and business taxes. Refundable tax credits provide firms with a cash payment when the value of the credit exceeds their tax liability. It is theoretically possible that some refundable tax credits do not result in any cash payments, as a company may owe more in taxes than it receives in tax credits. But since official state estimates and reports reveal large cash payouts each year, it is likely that all, or nearly all, the credits provide cash subsidies. Tax credits like these have been a regular feature of Michigan state policy all through the 17 years this analysis covers.

This analysis, however, does not include nonrefundable tax breaks, including tax abatements that are selectively granted by the state and local governments to some business property owners but not others as this does not result in transferring money from some taxpayers to others.

Lawmakers have approved cash subsidies and refundable tax credits to retain existing jobs or create new jobs; encourage particular industries like electric car battery makers or film producers; and restore

historic structures, among other things. This scorecard includes only subsidies offered for business purposes.

Also note that this scorecard measures the amount lawmakers authorized, not necessarily how much the state actually spent on business subsidies. In other words, the figure listed for each legislator is the amount they approved spending on business subsidies, but not necessarily what these economic development programs ultimately cost taxpayers.

Additional information about what was included in this scorecard are described in more detail below.

Method

We compiled a list of the relevant public acts by reviewing the original statutes and amendments that govern the Michigan Strategic Fund and the Michigan Business Tax. The list also includes the state income tax law, the Michigan Economic Growth Authority law and some more recent laws authorizing business subsidies. We reviewed each law and amendment to ensure that they authorized direct expenditures or refundable credits.

We also reviewed the appendix to the governor’s annual executive budget that includes information about tax credits, deductions and exemptions. Our list also included the annual appropriation bills that fund business subsidies. The MichiganVotes.org legislative vote tracking site helped identify other relevant laws.

The dollar value of any subsidy legislators vote on depends on the specific law that authorizes it. A few of the laws have caps, and in those cases, our analysis uses the value of the cap. If no caps were used in legislation, we took the estimates the House and Senate fiscal agencies produced during the legislative process. If the subsidy projections available when a bill was considered provided a range of amounts, we used the largest amount.

To calculate the value of subsidies granted by laws that amend or add new provisions to the MEGA statute, we used Michigan Strategic Fund and Michigan Economic Development Corporation reports showing the amount of tax credits approved for each MEGA project subsidized by the provision. Doing so provides consistency and prevents double counting of subsidies authorized by more than one statute.

If there was no official estimate of a bill's spending amount at the time it was considered, we used estimates from the program's administrator, such as the MEDC or the Michigan Department of Treasury.

We excluded federal spending that might be made available by new laws that authorize direct expenditures, but we included Michigan Strategic Fund administration and management expenses. That's because after legislators and the governor authorize subsidies for a certain type of business, taxpayers shoulder additional administrative costs.

The 21st Century Jobs Fund, which has authorized \$1.2 billion in business subsidies, was originally enacted in 2005 and extended in 2014.¹ If money spent under this program occurred in any year's budget, we didn't include it in our tally of votes on the budget. Instead, we counted it under the votes cast in 2005 and

2014 to create the fund in the first place in order to prevent double counting.

Sometimes, the Legislature did not have an official cost estimate for a particular subsidy when it voted on it, and program administrators do not have estimates about how many subsidies it authorizes. For example, when the Legislature considered a 2008 law that authorized credits for companies that create "anchor jobs," the Senate Fiscal Agency reported, "It is not possible to make a reasonable estimate of the fiscal impact of this bill because there are too many unknown variables."² Later annual reports on MEGA activities listed "TBD" in the column titled "Maximum Credit Authorized."³ Since there was no way to estimate the financial cost of such subsidies, we did not include the roll call votes that authorized them in this analysis.

We also excluded laws whose primary purpose was to do something other than approve a new subsidy. The main example includes the annual expenses for the state's currently active subsidy programs, which provide cash to companies without the device of refundable tax credits. These grants are now included in the vote on annual appropriations bills for general government purposes, of which the volume of authorized business subsidies is only a small portion.

Another example is the 2007 law that replaced the Single Business Tax with the Michigan Business Tax. The vote on this measure also included an extension of the sunset on the MEGA program — letting it live longer — and this eventually led to an additional \$3.7 billion worth of MEGA subsidy deals without further legislative approval. But the large number of policy changes involved in the switch between taxes made it ineligible for this analysis.

1 "Public Act 225 of 2005" (State of Michigan, Nov. 21, 2005), <https://perma.cc/6HHJ-38RB>; "Public Act 504 of 2014" (State of Michigan, Jan. 10, 2015), <https://perma.cc/8UCE-52MT>.

2 Jay Wortley, "MBT Credit: Anchor Company" (Michigan Senate Fiscal Agency, Sept. 16, 2008), <https://perma.cc/NN8D-9B3Y>.

3 "MEGA Approved Projects – By Board Approval Year" (Michigan Economic Development Corporation, Oct. 1, 2010), <https://perma.cc/XX6U-GR28>.

We excluded yet another subsidy authorization from this analysis for a different reason. This was the simple extension of the MEGA program beyond 2003. When the proposal to extend MEGA was presented to lawmakers, the fiscal agency analysis concluded the measure would cost “an unknown and potentially significant amount.”⁴

That bill extended the end of MEGA from Dec. 31, 2003, to Dec. 31, 2009. In hindsight, we can calculate that \$4.3 billion in refundable tax credits were granted under this one new law, but it is doubtful that lawmakers had any clue about how much spending they were authorizing. The measure had a lone dissenter.⁵

MEGA

The single largest program that legislators authorized for delivering business subsidies during the 17 years covered in this analysis was the Michigan Economic Growth Authority. MEGA’s board offered hundreds of companies deals for refundable tax credits and its authorizing statutes were amended regularly over the period to let it make more deals.⁶

Lawmakers suspended MEGA’s ability to grant new subsidies in 2011, but the state is still liable for billions of dollars of subsidy payments under agreements MEGA made up to that point.⁷ These obligations extend potentially as far as 2031.⁸

MEGA subsidies were refundable tax credits that a company could claim on the state’s Single Business Tax. After 2007, the Single Business Tax was replaced by a different business tax, the Michigan Business Tax,

and MEGA credits were then applied to this new business tax and not the old one.

In 2011 the MBT was replaced by a less burdensome business income tax. The MBT statute was kept on the books so it could authorize the state to make the payments it had already agreed to.

Officials project that for the 2017-2018 fiscal year, the state will write checks totaling \$757.9 million to companies benefitting from MEGA grants.⁹ These are actual cash outlays, not foregone taxes that would have been paid by beneficiaries but for their MEGA deal. In other words, the cash comes from other taxpayers.

However, the amounts individual companies receive through these MEGA deals are treated as confidential taxpayer information by the state.¹⁰ State law allows the program’s administrators and individual companies receiving subsidies to make agreements that keep the company’s information confidential.¹¹ The state has also signed additional deals with individual companies pledging confidentiality.¹²

In its report on MEGA, the state’s auditor general notes that state law requires reports about subsidy programs to disclose the names of companies receiving subsidies, as well as how much they receive. This is in conflict with the state laws that allow for confidentiality. As such, the auditor general did not release the estimated credits authorized to each company, which are necessary for assigning costs to each vote.¹³ For laws that authorized new MEGA

4 Patrick Affholter and Jay Wortley, “MEGA/SBT Renewal & Revisions” (Michigan Senate Fiscal Agency, Dec. 17, 2003), <https://perma.cc/BH2N-4YJ7>.

5 The roll call votes to extend MEGA can be found here: House Roll Call 728 on 2003 House Bill 5246, <https://perma.cc/A7XH-3NTL> and Senate Roll Call 656 on 2003 House Bill 5246, <https://perma.cc/T5RY-ABF8>.

6 Michael D. LaFaive and James M. Hohman, “The Michigan Economic Development Corporation: A Review and Analysis” (Mackinac Center for Public Policy, Aug. 31, 2009), 15-19, <https://perma.cc/V9NP-53FN>.

7 “MEGA FY 2012 Report to the Michigan Legislature” (Michigan Economic Development Corporation, Oct. 19, 2012), <https://perma.cc/PHS4-7PVJ>.

8 “MEGA FY 2011 Report to the Michigan Legislature” (Michigan Economic Development Corporation, Oct. 12, 2011), <https://perma.cc/GC52-VM5Y>.

9 Ryan Bergan and David Zin, “Monthly Revenue Report” (Michigan Senate Fiscal Agency, March 2018), <https://perma.cc/RE7K-QL3F>.

10 MCL § 205.28(1)(f); MCL § 205.28(5).

11 MCL § 125.2005(9).

12 “Performance Audit Report: Michigan Economic Growth Authority Tax Credit Program” (Michigan Office of the Auditor General, September 2017), 13, <https://perma.cc/SNF6-P6XQ>.

13 “Performance Audit Report: Michigan Economic Growth Authority Tax Credit Program” (Michigan Office of the Auditor General, September 2017), 13-14, <https://perma.cc/SNF6-P6XQ>.

projects, therefore, we used the program administrators' initial estimate of the credit's value.

MichiganVotes.org Scorecard

This analysis covers votes on 71 public acts that authorized \$16 billion in business subsidies from the start of 2001 through 2017. Of these, there were 37 public acts that were possible to score (as described above) and are included in this legislative scorecard. Those 37 bills authorized \$6 billion in business subsidies.

We then loaded the value of those bills into a custom-built MichiganVotes.org scorecard that tallied the voting records of individual legislators in several ways that go beyond “yea” or “nay.” Voting records reported here also include the dollar amount of subsidies each legislator approved or denied, plus the percentage that each person approved or opposed while in office.

A missed vote by a lawmaker on a particular authorization is not included in either the total amount of subsidies approved or opposed by that lawmaker.

The table below includes 498 legislators that voted on business subsidies since 2001. It includes how much in subsidies each lawmaker voted to authorize and a percentage of how much in subsidies they approved as a proportion of how much was approved during their tenure as a legislator. A full 100 percent means that the lawmaker voted for every dollar of subsidy they had the opportunity to approve (excluding missed votes), and 0 percent means that the lawmaker voted against every dollar of subsidy they had the opportunity to approve (excluding missed votes).

For an even more detailed scorecard of legislative votes authorizing business subsidies, including information on how each legislator voted on each individual bill, visit MichiganVotes.org and the section “Scorecards.”

Full Table of Results

Legislator	Subsidies approved	Percent approved	Party
Hildenbrand, Dave	\$5,423,505,578	100%	R
Jones, Rick	\$5,399,505,578	99.6%	R
Marleau, Jim	\$5,399,505,578	99.6%	R
Hansen, Geoff	\$5,349,505,578	99.6%	R
Proos, John	\$5,349,505,578	99.6%	R
Booher, Darwin	\$5,199,505,578	99.5%	R
Bieda, Steve	\$4,961,886,878	99.4%	D
Hood, Morris, III	\$4,961,886,878	99.4%	D
Hopgood, Hoon-Yung	\$4,961,886,878	99.4%	D
Casperson, Tom	\$4,872,898,064	97.6%	R
Anderson, Glenn	\$4,606,471,700	97.5%	D
Whitmer, Gretchen	\$4,546,699,800	98.1%	D
Allen, Jason	\$4,524,761,686	99.8%	R
Bishop, Mike	\$4,524,761,686	99.8%	R
Stamas, Tony	\$4,524,761,686	99.8%	R
Van Woerkom, Gerald	\$4,524,761,686	99.8%	R
Robertson, David	\$4,515,498,064	97.5%	R
Brown, Cameron	\$4,461,761,686	98.4%	R
Kuipers, Wayne	\$4,461,761,686	98.4%	R
Jelinek, Ron	\$4,456,188,686	98.4%	R
Jacobs, Gilda	\$4,446,724,186	98.1%	D
George, Tom	\$4,436,761,686	97.8%	R
Clarke, Hansen	\$4,422,224,186	98.1%	D
Clark-Coleman, Irma	\$4,378,596,086	98.0%	D
Birkholz, Patricia	\$4,373,011,686	99.8%	R
Gleason, John	\$4,333,853,600	99.3%	D
Scott, Martha G.	\$4,324,082,886	98.0%	D
Brater, Liz	\$4,309,977,986	100%	D
Olshove, Dennis	\$4,309,977,986	100%	D
Hardiman, Bill	\$4,300,477,986	99.8%	R
McManus, Michelle	\$4,271,953,600	99.8%	R
Cropsey, Alan L.	\$4,212,477,986	97.7%	R
Rocca, Tory	\$4,199,505,578	77.4%	R
Schuitmaker, Tonya	\$4,169,972,300	76.9%	R
Kahn, Roger	\$4,149,472,300	98.3%	R
Pavlov, Phil	\$4,136,505,578	76.3%	R
Garcia, Valde	\$4,130,224,186	100%	R
Barcia, Jim	\$4,056,977,986	100%	D
Prusi, Michael	\$4,056,977,986	100%	D
Smith, Alma	\$3,958,318,500	97.8%	D
Angerer, Kathy	\$3,941,572,300	100%	D
Byrnes, Pam	\$3,941,572,300	100%	D
Clemente, Ed	\$3,941,572,300	100%	D
Donigan, Marie	\$3,941,572,300	100%	D
Espinoza, John	\$3,941,572,300	100%	D
Leland, Gabe	\$3,941,572,300	100%	D
McDowell, Gary	\$3,941,572,300	100%	D
Polidori, Gino	\$3,941,572,300	100%	D
Sheltrown, Joel	\$3,941,572,300	100%	D
Spade, Dudley	\$3,941,572,300	100%	D
Basham, Ray	\$3,929,224,186	97.8%	D
Switalski, Michael	\$3,929,224,186	97.8%	D
Cherry, Deborah	\$3,928,577,986	100%	D
Warren, Rebekah	\$3,918,418,278	100%	D
Moore, Tim	\$3,917,572,300	100%	R

Legislator	Subsidies approved	Percent approved	Party
Caul, Bill	\$3,917,572,300	99.4%	R
Pearce, Tom	\$3,917,572,300	99.4%	R
Green, Kevin	\$3,908,072,300	99.2%	R
Gonzales, Lee	\$3,906,476,000	100%	D
Mayes, Jeff	\$3,891,572,300	100%	D
Dillon, Andy	\$3,874,072,300	100%	D
Thomas, Samuel B., III	\$3,790,524,186	97.7%	D
Patterson, Bruce	\$3,750,133,586	97.3%	R
Young, Coleman, II	\$3,690,418,278	100%	D
Emmons, Judy	\$3,672,898,064	73.6%	R
Horn, Kenneth	\$3,666,418,278	99.3%	R
Knollenberg, Marty	\$3,666,418,278	99.3%	R
Walker, Howard	\$3,666,236,686	96.8%	R
Schauer, Mark	\$3,645,224,186	97.7%	D
Meekhof, Arlan	\$3,577,418,278	91.3%	R
Hunter, Tupac	\$3,561,853,600	99.2%	D
Lemmons, LaMar, Jr.	\$3,560,172,300	100%	D
Ball, Richard J.	\$3,525,187,300	99.3%	R
Johnson, Bert	\$3,485,918,278	100%	D
Accavitti, Frank, Jr.	\$3,479,953,600	99.2%	D
Farrah, Barbara	\$3,479,953,600	99.2%	D
Law, Kathleen	\$3,479,953,600	99.2%	D
Tobocman, Steve	\$3,479,953,600	99.2%	D
Condino, Paul	\$3,464,753,600	98.8%	D
Brandenburg, Jack	\$3,461,279,964	70.3%	R
Palsrok, David	\$3,453,964,786	98.4%	R
Pastor, John	\$3,440,349,886	98.1%	R
Gillard, Matthew	\$3,425,440,400	97.6%	D
Sak, Michael	\$3,425,440,400	97.6%	D
Wenke, Lorence	\$3,417,118,486	98.4%	R
Stakoe, John	\$3,416,953,600	98.2%	R
Clack, Brenda	\$3,401,753,600	99.2%	D
Amos, Fran	\$3,390,964,786	96.7%	R
Shaffer, Rick	\$3,390,964,786	96.7%	R
Huizenga, Bill	\$3,390,464,786	98.2%	R
Eisenheimer, Kevin A.	\$3,337,072,300	97.5%	R
Nitz, Neal	\$3,322,836,686	94.7%	R
Richardville, Randy	\$3,300,074,386	99.7%	R
Meisner, Andy	\$3,261,953,600	94.8%	D
Pappageorge, John	\$3,237,074,386	97.8%	R
Caswell, Bruce	\$3,166,236,686	94.8%	R
Bennett, Doug	\$2,987,572,300	99.7%	D
Wojno, Lisa	\$2,962,453,600	99.0%	D
LaJoy, Philip	\$2,925,487,986	100%	R
Miller, Fred	\$2,920,072,300	87.9%	D
Steil, Glenn, Jr.	\$2,867,891,786	96.1%	R
Hune, Joe	\$2,722,283,164	54.6%	R
Brown, Terry	\$2,664,485,000	100%	D
Smith, Virgil	\$2,629,353,600	98.9%	D
Vagnozzi, Aldo	\$2,605,053,600	98.9%	D
Cushingberry, George	\$2,570,326,300	100%	D
Bauer, Joan	\$2,488,885,000	100%	D
Byrum, Barb	\$2,488,885,000	100%	D
Constan, Bob	\$2,488,885,000	100%	D
Lindberg, Steven	\$2,488,885,000	100%	D
Hammel, Richard	\$2,479,385,000	99.6%	D
Nofs, Mike	\$2,453,964,786	69.9%	R

Legislator	Subsidies approved	Percent approved	Party
Moolenaar, John	\$2,453,236,686	64.7%	R
Law, David	\$2,439,572,300	97.5%	R
Corriveau, Marc	\$2,436,485,000	100%	D
Dean, Robert	\$2,436,485,000	100%	D
Griffin, Martin	\$2,436,485,000	100%	D
Lahti, Michael	\$2,436,485,000	100%	D
Melton, Tim	\$2,436,485,000	100%	D
Valentine, Mary	\$2,436,485,000	100%	D
LeBlanc, Richard	\$2,426,985,000	97.5%	D
Jackson, Shanelle	\$2,425,885,000	100%	D
Ward, Chris	\$2,418,788,886	69.4%	R
Ebli, Kate	\$2,418,058,000	100%	D
Sanborn, Alan	\$2,415,261,686	54.5%	R
Jones, Robert	\$2,412,485,000	100%	D
Opsommer, Paul	\$2,412,485,000	96.9%	R
Meltzer, Kimberly	\$2,402,985,000	98.6%	R
Moss, Chuck	\$2,401,885,000	96.5%	R
Palmer, Brian	\$2,385,474,186	87.7%	R
Calley, Brian	\$2,312,485,000	94.9%	R
Cheeks, Marsha	\$2,299,825,500	98.8%	D
Schmidt, Wayne	\$2,283,433,278	100%	R
Gregory, Vincent	\$2,273,933,278	99.6%	D
Stamas, Jim	\$2,257,900,000	98.9%	R
DeRoche, Craig	\$2,244,149,886	88.9%	R
Meadows, Mark	\$2,239,058,000	99.9%	D
Stahl, John	\$2,172,836,686	61.9%	R
Sheen, Fulton	\$2,171,086,686	61.9%	R
Coulouris, Andy	\$2,159,485,000	100%	D
Scott, Bettie	\$2,159,485,000	100%	D
Simpson, Mike	\$2,149,985,000	100%	D
Goschka, Michael	\$2,097,776,686	100%	R
Hammerstrom, Beverly	\$2,097,776,686	100%	R
Sikkema, Ken	\$2,097,776,686	100%	R
Stewart, John	\$2,097,776,686	100%	R
Johnson, Shirley	\$2,096,026,686	100%	R
Jansen, Mark	\$2,073,431,200	77.1%	R
Kooiman, Jerry	\$2,043,263,486	97.4%	R
Van Regenmorter, William	\$2,043,263,486	97.4%	R
Meyer, Tom	\$2,042,763,486	97.4%	R
Newell, Gary	\$2,042,763,486	97.4%	R
Durhal, Fred, III	\$2,030,433,278	100%	D
Leland, Burton	\$2,010,239,186	95.8%	D
Gaffney, Edward	\$1,990,977,986	66.6%	R
Adamini, Stephen	\$1,981,714,800	94.5%	D
Kolb, Chris	\$1,981,714,800	94.5%	D
Williams, Carl	\$1,981,714,800	94.5%	D
Byrum, Dianne	\$1,981,214,800	94.5%	D
Plakas, Jim	\$1,978,505,800	94.5%	D
Murphy, Michael	\$1,963,014,800	94.4%	D
Vander Veen, Barb	\$1,955,935,386	94.1%	R
Brown, Rich	\$1,927,201,600	91.9%	D
Benero, Virg	\$1,924,829,086	95.7%	D
Zelenko, Paula	\$1,916,224,800	94.3%	D
Taub, Shelley Goodman	\$1,873,492,986	100%	R
Waters, Mary	\$1,863,668,600	94.1%	D
Emerson, Bob	\$1,852,501,586	95.5%	D
Lipsey, Alexander	\$1,831,714,800	94.0%	D

Legislator	Subsidies approved	Percent approved	Party
Agema, David	\$1,824,985,000	73.3%	R
Farhat, David	\$1,818,979,786	97.1%	R
Acciavatti, Daniel	\$1,805,336,686	60.4%	R
Nofs, Mike	\$1,758,933,278	99.5%	R
Hummel, Scott	\$1,707,089,186	86.2%	R
Gilbert, Jud	\$1,706,761,686	37.2%	R
Kowall, Mike	\$1,706,216,978	100%	R
Lemmons III, LaMar	\$1,641,833,500	100%	D
Hammon, Ted	\$1,634,985,000	100%	D
Hoogendyk, Jacob, Jr.	\$1,626,312,300	54.4%	R
Toy, Laura	\$1,555,391,186	77.6%	R
Baxter, Rick	\$1,505,087,300	100%	R
Mortimer, Leslie	\$1,505,087,300	100%	R
MacGregor, Peter	\$1,481,933,278	100%	R
Zorn, Dale W.	\$1,481,933,278	100%	R
Garfield, John	\$1,466,853,586	56.5%	R
Greimel, Tim	\$1,431,933,278	100%	D
O'Brien, Margaret	\$1,431,933,278	96.6%	R
Kesto, Klint	\$1,429,533,278	100%	R
Pagel, David	\$1,429,533,278	100%	R
VerHeulen, Rob	\$1,429,533,278	100%	R
Victory, Roger	\$1,429,533,278	100%	R
Brinks, Winnie	\$1,429,533,278	100%	D
Cochran, Tom	\$1,429,533,278	100%	D
Knezek, David	\$1,429,533,278	100%	D
Kosowski, Robert	\$1,429,533,278	100%	D
Phelps, Phil	\$1,429,533,278	100%	D
Schor, Andy	\$1,429,533,278	100%	D
Singh, Sam	\$1,429,533,278	100%	D
Yanez, Henry	\$1,429,533,278	100%	D
Zemke, Adam	\$1,429,533,278	100%	D
Cassis, Nancy	\$1,282,776,686	28.4%	R
Ananich, Jim	\$1,281,933,278	100%	D
Hughes, Holly	\$1,253,933,278	100%	R
Green, Michael	\$1,231,933,278	96.1%	R
Faris, Pam	\$1,229,533,278	86.0%	D
Graves, Joseph	\$1,203,933,278	84.1%	R
Shirkey, Mike	\$1,202,400,000	79.8%	R
Afendoulis, Chris	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Bellino, Joseph, Jr.	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Brann, Tommy	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Calley, Julie	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Canfield, Edward	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Crawford, Kathy	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Farrington, Diana	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Frederick, Ben	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Garcia, Daniela	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Griffin, Beth	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Hauck, Roger	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Iden, Brandt	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Inman, Larry	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Kahle, Bronna	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
LaFave, Beau	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
LaSata, Kim	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Lilly, Jim	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Lucido, Peter	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Marino, Steve	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R

Legislator	Subsidies approved	Percent approved	Party
Maturen, David	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Rendon, Daire	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Roberts, Brett	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Sheppard, Jason	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Tedder, Jim	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
VanderWall, Curt	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
VanSingel, Scott	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Vaupel, Hank	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Webber, Michael	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Whiteford, Mary	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Yaroch, Jeff	\$1,201,533,278	100%	R
Byrd, Wendell	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
Chirkun, John	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
Clemente, Cara	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
Elder, Brian	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
Ellison, Jim	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
Gay-Dagnogo, Sherry	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
Geiss, Erika	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
Green, Patrick	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
Guerra, Vanessa	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
Hertel, Curtis, Jr.	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
Hertel, Kevin	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
LaGrand, David	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
Lasinski, Donna	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
Love, Leslie	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
Moss, Jeremy	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
Neeley, Sheldon	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
Peterson, Ronnie	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
Sabo, Terry	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
Scott, Bettie Cook	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
Sneller, Tim	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
Sowerby, William	\$1,201,533,278	100%	D
Dianda, Scott	\$1,201,533,278	84.1%	D
Haugh, Harold	\$1,081,900,000	100%	D
Kandreas, Andrew	\$1,081,900,000	100%	D
Lipton, Ellen	\$1,081,900,000	100%	D
Segal, Kate	\$1,081,900,000	100%	D
Slavens, Dian	\$1,081,900,000	100%	D
Walsh, John	\$1,079,500,000	100%	R
Barnett, Vicki	\$1,079,500,000	99.8%	D
Stanley, Woodrow	\$1,072,400,000	99.1%	D
Bolger, James "Jase"	\$1,057,900,000	100%	R
Switalski, Jon	\$1,057,900,000	100%	D
Denby, Cindy	\$1,057,900,000	97.8%	R
Haines, Gail	\$1,057,900,000	97.8%	R
Kowall, Eileen	\$1,057,900,000	97.8%	R
Kurtz, Kenneth	\$1,057,900,000	97.8%	R
Lori, Matt	\$1,057,900,000	97.8%	R
Rogers, Bill	\$1,057,900,000	97.8%	R
Crawford, Hugh	\$1,048,400,000	96.9%	R
Tlaib, Rashida	\$1,031,900,000	100%	D
Roberts, Sarah	\$1,029,500,000	100%	D
Leutheuser, Eric	\$1,001,533,278	100%	R
Conyers, Ian	\$1,001,533,278	100%	D
Albert, Thomas	\$1,001,533,278	83.4%	R
Alexander, Julie	\$1,001,533,278	83.4%	R
Bizon, John	\$1,001,533,278	83.4%	R

Legislator	Subsidies approved	Percent approved	Party
Chatfield, Lee	\$1,001,533,278	83.4%	R
Cox, Laura	\$1,001,533,278	83.4%	R
Camilleri, Darrin	\$1,001,533,278	83.4%	D
Garrett, LaTanya	\$1,001,533,278	83.4%	D
Greig, Christine	\$1,001,533,278	83.4%	D
Hammoud, Abdullah	\$1,001,533,278	83.4%	D
Hoadley, Jon	\$1,001,533,278	83.4%	D
Liberati, Frank	\$1,001,533,278	83.4%	D
Pagan, Kristy	\$1,001,533,278	83.4%	D
Santana, Sylvia	\$1,001,533,278	83.4%	D
Wittenberg, Robert	\$1,001,533,278	83.4%	D
Lauwers, Dan	\$1,001,533,278	70.1%	R
Leonard, Tom	\$1,001,533,278	70.1%	R
Haveman, Joseph	\$981,900,000	90.8%	R
Neumann, Andy	\$938,246,200	91.5%	D
Schmidt, Roy	\$853,900,000	100%	R
Brown, Lisa	\$853,900,000	100%	D
Liss, Lesia	\$853,900,000	100%	D
Geiss, Douglas	\$853,900,000	78.9%	D
Womack, Jimmy	\$851,500,000	100%	D
Tyler, Sharon	\$829,900,000	97.2%	R
Huckleberry, Mike	\$801,500,000	100%	D
Kennedy, Deb	\$801,500,000	100%	D
Scripps, Dan	\$801,500,000	100%	D
Slezak, Jim	\$801,500,000	100%	D
Haase, Jennifer	\$792,000,000	98.8%	D
Nathan, David	\$779,900,000	91.3%	D
DeShazor, Larry	\$777,500,000	97.0%	R
Drolet, Leon	\$704,839,186	33.6%	R
Lund, Pete	\$679,900,000	62.8%	R
Nerat, Judy	\$627,500,000	100%	D
Hager, Lauren	\$592,689,386	100%	R
Johnson, Ruth	\$592,689,386	100%	R
Shulman, Marc	\$592,689,386	100%	R
Gosselin, Robert	\$575,728,500	33.3%	R
Caul, Sandy	\$538,176,186	90.8%	R
Howell, Jim	\$538,176,186	90.8%	R
Johnson, Rick	\$538,176,186	90.8%	R
Julian, Larry	\$538,176,186	90.8%	R
Koetje, James	\$538,176,186	90.8%	R
Mortimer, Mickey	\$538,176,186	90.8%	R
Rocca, Sal	\$538,176,186	90.8%	R
Tabor, Susan	\$538,176,186	90.8%	R
Voorhees, Joanne	\$538,176,186	90.8%	R
Shackleton, Scott	\$536,426,186	90.8%	R
DeRossett, Gene	\$519,476,186	90.5%	R
Phillips, Clarence	\$511,723,800	81.5%	D
LaSata, Charles	\$509,651,800	90.3%	R
Middaugh, MaryAnn	\$509,651,800	90.3%	R
Woronchak, Gary	\$505,151,886	100%	R
Bledsoe, Timothy	\$476,900,000	55.8%	D
Gielegem, Paul	\$476,627,500	80.4%	D
Hardman, Artina Tinsley	\$476,627,500	80.4%	D
Jarnick, RuthAnn	\$476,627,500	80.4%	D
Minore, Jack	\$476,627,500	80.4%	D
O'Neil, William	\$476,627,500	80.4%	D
Reeves, Triette	\$476,627,500	80.4%	D

Legislator	Subsidies approved	Percent approved	Party
Rivet, Joseph	\$476,627,500	80.4%	D
Spade, Doug	\$476,627,500	80.4%	D
Woodward, David	\$476,627,500	80.4%	D
Daniels, Ken	\$474,877,500	80.4%	D
Ehardt, Stephen	\$470,048,086	87.3%	R
Bisbee, Clark	\$470,048,086	79.3%	R
McCready, Michael	\$429,533,278	30.0%	R
Daley, Kevin	\$426,900,000	39.5%	R
Dennis, Julie	\$422,114,300	71.2%	D
McConico, Bill	\$388,607,600	77.0%	D
Bradstreet, Ken	\$388,176,186	65.5%	R
Pumford, Mike	\$388,176,186	65.5%	R
Scott, Paul	\$374,500,000	46.7%	R
Milosch, Matt	\$368,405,686	100%	R
Hart, Doug	\$340,951,800	59.4%	R
Oakes, Stacy Erwin	\$304,400,000	100%	D
Elkins, Jennifer	\$285,368,100	77.5%	D
Callton, Mike	\$280,400,000	100%	R
Forlini, Anthony	\$280,400,000	100%	R
Foster, Frank	\$280,400,000	100%	R
Gardon, Ben	\$280,400,000	100%	R
Heise, Kurt	\$280,400,000	100%	R
Jacobsen, Bradford	\$280,400,000	100%	R
Lyons, Lisa	\$280,400,000	100%	R
Muxlow, Paul	\$280,400,000	100%	R
Price, Amanda	\$280,400,000	100%	R
Brunner, Charles	\$280,400,000	100%	D
Cavanagh, Phil	\$280,400,000	100%	D
Clemente, Paul D.	\$280,400,000	100%	D
Darany, George T.	\$280,400,000	100%	D
Dillon, Brandon	\$280,400,000	100%	D
Hobbs, Rudy	\$280,400,000	100%	D
Hovey-Wright, Marcia	\$280,400,000	100%	D
Irwin, Jeff	\$280,400,000	100%	D
Lane, Marilyn	\$280,400,000	100%	D
McCann, Sean	\$280,400,000	100%	D
Rutledge, David E.	\$280,400,000	100%	D
Smiley, Charles	\$280,400,000	100%	D
Talabi, Alberta Tinsley	\$280,400,000	100%	D
Townsend, Jim	\$280,400,000	100%	D
Stallworth, Thomas, III	\$278,000,000	100%	D
Outman, Rick	\$278,000,000	99.1%	R
Yonker, Ken	\$278,000,000	99.1%	R
Santana, Harvey	\$278,000,000	99.1%	D
Farrington, Jeff	\$230,400,000	82.2%	R
Jenkins, Nancy	\$230,400,000	82.2%	R
LaFontaine, Andrea	\$230,400,000	82.2%	R
Poleski, Earl	\$230,400,000	82.2%	R
Pscholka, Al	\$230,400,000	82.2%	R
Abed, Theresa	\$228,000,000	100%	D
Banks, Brian	\$228,000,000	100%	D
Driskell, Gretchen	\$228,000,000	100%	D
Lamonte, Collene	\$228,000,000	100%	D
LaVoy, Bill	\$228,000,000	100%	D
Kivela, John	\$228,000,000	18.6%	D
Bennett, Loren	\$224,283,700	100%	R
Bullard, Willis	\$224,283,700	100%	R

Legislator	Subsidies approved	Percent approved	Party
DeGrow, Dan	\$224,283,700	100%	R
DeVuyst, Larry	\$224,283,700	100%	R
DeWeese, Paul	\$224,283,700	100%	R
Emmons, Joanne	\$224,283,700	100%	R
Faunce, Jennifer	\$224,283,700	100%	R
Gougeon, Joel	\$224,283,700	100%	R
McCotter, Thaddeus	\$224,283,700	100%	R
McManus, Jr., George	\$224,283,700	100%	R
Mead, David	\$224,283,700	100%	R
North, Walter	\$224,283,700	100%	R
Raczkowski, Andrew	\$224,283,700	100%	R
Richner, Andrew	\$224,283,700	100%	R
Schuette, Bill	\$224,283,700	100%	R
Schwarz, John J. H.	\$224,283,700	100%	R
Scranton, Judith	\$224,283,700	100%	R
Shugars, Dale	\$224,283,700	100%	R
Steil, Glenn	\$224,283,700	100%	R
Stille, Leon	\$224,283,700	100%	R
Vander Roest, Jerry	\$224,283,700	100%	R
Vear, Steve	\$224,283,700	100%	R
Sheltrown, Dale	\$219,878,100	56.3%	D
Dunaskiss, Mat	\$205,583,700	100%	R
Paletko, Daniel	\$204,513,200	87.8%	D
Cole, Triston	\$201,533,278	16.8%	R
Wentworth, Jason	\$201,533,278	16.8%	R
Lower, James	\$200,000,000	16.6%	R
Stallworth, Alma	\$188,131,300	100%	D
Pestka, Steve	\$136,746,200	100%	D
Gast, Harry	\$136,746,200	61.0%	R
Bovin, Douglas	\$136,746,200	61.0%	D
Brown, Bob	\$136,746,200	61.0%	D
Callahan, William	\$136,746,200	61.0%	D
Cherry, John	\$136,746,200	61.0%	D
DeBeaussaert, Kenneth	\$136,746,200	61.0%	D
Dingell, Christopher	\$136,746,200	61.0%	D
Hale, Derrick	\$136,746,200	61.0%	D
Hansen, John	\$136,746,200	61.0%	D
Hart, George	\$136,746,200	61.0%	D
Koivisto, Don	\$136,746,200	61.0%	D
Lockwood, Patricia	\$136,746,200	61.0%	D
Mans, George	\$136,746,200	61.0%	D
Miller, Jr., Arthur	\$136,746,200	61.0%	D
Murphy, Raymond	\$136,746,200	61.0%	D
Peters, Gary	\$136,746,200	61.0%	D
Rison, Vera	\$136,746,200	61.0%	D
Wojno, Paul	\$136,746,200	61.0%	D
Young, Jr., Joe	\$136,746,200	61.0%	D
Kilpatrick, Kwame	\$118,046,200	100%	D
Schermesser, Gloria	\$118,046,200	100%	D
Bogardus, Rose	\$118,046,200	57.4%	D
Frank, A.T.	\$118,046,200	57.4%	D
Quaries, Nancy	\$118,046,200	57.4%	D
Stallworth, Keith	\$118,046,200	57.4%	D
Godchaux, Patricia	\$106,237,500	47.4%	R
Genetski, Robert	\$59,500,000	7.2%	R
Damrow, Kurt	\$52,400,000	100%	R
Huuki, Matt	\$52,400,000	100%	R

Legislator	Subsidies approved	Percent approved	Party
Shaughnessy, Deb	\$52,400,000	100%	R
Howze, Lisa L.	\$52,400,000	100%	D
Stapleton, Maureen	\$52,400,000	100%	D
McBroom, Edward	\$52,400,000	18.7%	R
Rendon, Bruce	\$52,400,000	18.7%	R
Colbeck, Patrick	\$52,400,000	3.5%	R
Potvin, Phil	\$50,000,000	17.8%	R
Kehrl, Herb	\$37,805,300	100%	D
Garza, Belda	\$18,700,000	17.6%	D
Jones, Hayes	\$5,573,000	100%	D
Olumba, John	\$2,400,000	100%	D
Olson, Rick	\$2,400,000	4.6%	R
Ouimet, Mark	\$2,400,000	4.6%	R
Nesbitt, Aric	\$2,400,000	0.9%	R
Pettalia, Peter	\$2,400,000	0.9%	R
Jones, Jewell	\$1,533,278	0.2%	D
Barrett, Tom	\$1,533,278	0.1%	R
Howell, Gary	\$1,533,278	0.1%	R
Theis, Lana	\$1,533,278	0.1%	R
Chang, Stephanie	\$1,533,278	0.1%	D
Rabhi, Yousef	\$1,533,278	0.1%	D
Kelly, Tim	\$1,533,278	0.1%	R
MacMaster, Greg	\$0	0.0%	R
Bumstead, Jon	\$0	0.0%	R
Cotter, Kevin	\$0	0.0%	R
Franz, Ray	\$0	0.0%	R
Goike, Ken	\$0	0.0%	R
Hooker, Thomas	\$0	0.0%	R
Johnson, Joel	\$0	0.0%	R
Somerville, Patrick	\$0	0.0%	R
Amash, Justin	\$0	0.0%	R
McMillin, Tom	\$0	0.0%	R
Allor, Sue	\$0	0.0%	R
Glenn, Gary	\$0	0.0%	R
Hernandez, Shane	\$0	0.0%	R
Hoitenga, Michele	\$0	0.0%	R
Hornberger, Pamela	\$0	0.0%	R
Johnson, Steven	\$0	0.0%	R
Miller, Aaron	\$0	0.0%	R
Noble, Jeff	\$0	0.0%	R
Reilly, John	\$0	0.0%	R
Runestad, Jim	\$0	0.0%	R
Howrylak, Martin	\$0	0.0%	R
Robinson, Rose Mary	\$0	0.0%	D

Board of Directors

Hon. Clifford W. Taylor,
Chairman
*Retired Chief Justice,
Michigan Supreme Court*

Joseph G. Lehman, President
Mackinac Center for Public Policy

Jim Barrett
*Retired President and CEO
Michigan Chamber of Commerce*

Daniel J. Graf
*Chief Investment Officer
Amerisure Mutual Holdings, Inc.*

Dulce M. Fuller
Owner, Woodward and Maple

Richard G. Haworth
*Chairman Emeritus,
Haworth, Inc.*

Kent B. Herrick
President and CEO, Thermogy

J.C. Huizenga
President, Westwater Group

Edward C. Levy Jr.
President, Edw. C. Levy Co.

Rodney M. Lockwood Jr.
*President, Lockwood
Construction Company, Inc.*

Joseph P. Maguire
*President, Wolverine
Development Corporation*

Richard D. McLellan
*Attorney, McLellan
Law Offices*

D. Joseph Olson
*Retired Senior Vice President and
General Counsel, Amerisure
Companies*

Board of Scholars

Dr. Donald Alexander
Western Michigan University

Dr. Thomas Bertonneau
SUNY-Owego

Dr. Brad Birzer
Hillsdale College

Dr. Peter Boettke
George Mason University

Dr. Theodore Bolema
The Free State Foundation

Dr. Michael Clark
Hillsdale College

Matthew Coffey
Central Michigan University

Dr. Daniel Crane
*University of Michigan
Law School*

Shikha Dalmia
Reason Foundation

Dr. Christopher Douglas
University of Michigan-Flint

Dr. Jefferson Edgens
University of Wyoming

Dr. Ross Emmett
Arizona State University

Dr. Sarah Estelle
Hope College

Dr. Hugo Eyzaguirre
Northern Michigan University

Dr. Tawni Ferrarini
Northern Michigan University

Dr. Burton Folsom
Hillsdale College (ret.)

John Grether
Northwood University

Dr. Michael Heberling
Baker College

Dr. David Hebert
Aquinas College

Dr. Michael Hicks
Ball State University

Dr. Ormand Hook
*Mecosta-Osceola Intermediate
School District*

Prof. Harry Hutchison
*George Mason University
School of Law*

Dr. David Janda
*Institute for Preventative
Sports Medicine*

Annette Kirk
*Russell Kirk Center for
Cultural Renewal*

David Littmann
Mackinac Center for Public Policy

Dr. Dale Matcheck
Northwood University

Charles Meiser
*Lake Superior
State University (ret.)*

Dr. Glenn Moots
Northwood University

Dr. George Nastas III
Marketing Consultants

Dr. Todd Nesbit
Ball State University

Dr. John Pafford
Northwood University (ret.)

Dr. Mark Perry
University of Michigan-Flint

Lawrence W. Reed
*Foundation for
Economic Education*

Gregory Rehmke
Economic Thinking

Dr. Steve Safranek
*Private Sector
General Counsel*

Dr. Howard Schwartz
Oakland University

Dr. Martha Seger
Federal Reserve Board (ret.)

James Sheehan
SunTrust Robinson Humphrey

Rev. Robert Sirico
*Acton Institute for the
Study of Religion and Liberty*

Dr. Bradley Smith
Capital University Law School

Dr. Jason Taylor
Central Michigan University

Dr. John Taylor
Wayne State University

Dr. Richard K. Vedder
Ohio University

Prof. Harry Veryser Jr.
University of Detroit Mercy

John Walter Jr.
Dow Corning Corporation (ret.)

Mike Winther
Institute for Principle Studies

Dr. Gary Wolfram
Hillsdale College

Guarantee of Quality Scholarship

The Mackinac Center for Public Policy is committed to delivering the highest quality and most reliable research on Michigan issues. The Center guarantees that all original factual data are true and correct and that information attributed to other sources is accurately represented.

The Center encourages rigorous critique of its research. If the accuracy of any material fact or reference to an independent source is questioned and brought to the Center's attention with supporting evidence, the Center will respond in writing. If an error exists, it will be noted in a correction that will accompany all subsequent distribution of the publication. This constitutes the complete and final remedy under this guarantee.