Summary

Education reform remains a popular issue with most Michigan parents, but serious reforms are blocked at every turn by powerful unions. Replacing the current system of compulsory unionism for public school employees with one of voluntary unionism would help ensure that popular and necessary reforms pass as well as protect the rights of teachers who disagree with the politics of unions they are forced to financially support.
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Voluntary Unionism Puts Interests of Students and Teachers First

by Alicia M. Sikkenga

Michigan public schools are at a crossroads. By many measures, student academic achievement continues to flounder. Businesses, colleges, and universities are spending large sums of money on remedial education for students who never mastered the basic skills in the K-12 system. And polls reveal widespread dissatisfaction with public schools among parents.

Yet despite all these problems, efforts at serious reform are continuously being thwarted. Michigan’s most recent attempt at change—a voucher initiative to allow students trapped in failing schools a chance to attend other schools—was defeated. Attempts to raise the legislative limit on charter schools—an alternative preferred by many Michigan parents—also have been rebuffed.

Why? It’s not because the idea of greater school choice in the form of vouchers or charter schools lacks merit. No, the defeat of both of these reform measures is largely attributable to resistance from the teachers’ unions, who every election cycle spend millions of dollars—collected through compulsory union dues—to advance their special-interest political agenda.

The underlying obstacle facing real and necessary education reform, then, remains compulsory unionism in public education. No meaningful reform measure can be implemented under the current mandatory collective bargaining structure, which requires teachers to join or financially support a labor organization—even if that organization promotes an agenda that conflicts with the best interests of students.

How did compulsory unionism come to dominate our schools in the first place? In the 1930s, Congress passed the National Labor Relations Act (NLRA) to allow private-sector employees to organize into labor unions. Congress, in its wisdom, chose not to extend the NLRA’s provisions to public employees, believing such action was not in the public interest.

In 1947, Michigan passed the Public Employment Relations Act (PERA), which allowed state workers, including public school employees, to organize and enter into collective bargaining agreements. As a result, most of Michigan’s public school employees are now bound by contract
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Voluntary unionism doesn’t take away employees’ rights to organize, form unions, or enter into collective bargaining agreements.

Voluntary unionism doesn’t take away employees’ rights to organize, form unions, or enter into collective bargaining agreements. It merely allows individual school employees the opportunity to negotiate the best deal for themselves, if they wish.

It’s time to say yes to education reform that better meets the needs of students and respects the rights of teachers. It’s time to say yes to voluntary unionism for public school employees.
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